-27-2005 13:25 NERD REGION 4

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD REGION 4

In a Matter Between:)
PENNSYLVANIA ASSOCIATION OF STAFF NURSES & ALLIED PROFESSIONALS (PASNAP/NNOC), Petitioner and))) Case No. 4-RC-21557
HAHNEMANN UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL,) }
Employer.))

OBJECTIONS BY PASNAP/NNOC
TO THE CONDUCT OF THE ELECTION AND TO
CONDUCT AFFECTING THE RESULTS OF THE ELECTION
HELD ON JUNE 17 & 18, 2009

M. JANE LAWHON
California Nurses Association/National Nurses Organizing Committee
Legal Department
2000 Franklin Street, Suite 300
Oakland, CA 94612
Attorneys for Petitioner PASNAP/NNOC

105-51-5002 12.52

I KEDION 4

Pursuant to section 102.69(a) the National Labor Relation Board Rules and

Regulations, Series 8, as amended ("NLRB Rules"), Petitioner Pennsylvania Association of

Staff Nurses and Allied Professionals (PASNAP/NNOC) by its undersigned counsel hereby

objects to the conduct of the election held on June 17 & 18, 2009, and to conduct affecting

the results of the election, in the above case.

PASNAP/NNOC files these objections by facsimile pursuant to section 102.114(f) of

the NLRB Rules.

The grounds for PASNAP/NNOC's objections are:

Objection No. 1

The employer, Hahnemann University Hospital ("Hahnemann"), through its supervisors

and/or agents, provided assistance to individual employees and third parties who were

pursuing an anti-union campaign, and thus created an atmosphere of fear and intimidation,

interfered with laboratory conditions, and made a free election impossible.

Objection No. 2

The employer, Hahnemann, through its supervisors and/or agents, interfered with

laboratory conditions, and made a free election impossible, by refusing to permit the posting

of pro-Union literature and/or taking down or threatening to take down pro-Union literature in

areas where anti-Union literature was allowed to be widely posted.

Objection No. 3

The employer, Hahnemann, through its supervisors and/or agents, interfered with

laboratory conditions, and made a free election impossible, by refusing to permit the

distribution of pro-Union literature in non-work areas where individuals opposing the Union

were permitted to distribute anti-Union literature.

1

JOE-21-2003 13.24 NICE REGION 4

Objection No. 4

The employer, Hahnemann, through its supervisors and/or agents, created an

atmosphere of fear and intimidation, interfered with laboratory conditions, and made a free

election impossible, by threatening to retaliate and/or retaliating against employees in their

terms and conditions of employment based on their support for the Union.

Objection No. 5

The employer, Hahnemann, through its supervisors and/or agents, created an

atmosphere of fear and intimidation, interfered with laboratory conditions and made a free

election impossible by engaging in surveillance inside and in the areas nearby the polls on

the election days.

Objection No. 6

The employer, Hahnemann, through its supervisors and/or agents, created an

atmosphere of fear and intimidation, interfered with laboratory conditions, and made a free

election impossible, by restricting the access rights of off-duty employees who supported the

Union.

Objection No. 7

The employer, Hahnemann, through its supervisors and/or agents, concealed

information from unit employees necessary for a free and fair election by failing and refusing

to post the Notice of Election as required by NLRB Rules and Regulations.

Objection No. 8

The employer, Hahnemann, through its supervisors and/or agents, coercively

interrogated employees and thus interfered with laboratory conditions and made a free

election impossible.

2

Objection No. 9

The employer, Hahnemann, through its supervisors and/or agents, interfered with laboratory conditions and made a free election impossible, by informing or threatening that employees would suffer onerous consequences including, but not limited to, having to work mandatory overtime and/or being bumped for positions, holiday schedules and vacations, if the Union won the election.

Objection No. 10

By the above and other conduct, the employer, Hahnemann, has significantly interfered with, coerced, and restrained employees in the exercise of their Section 7 rights and has interfered with their ability to exercise a free and reasoned choice in the election.

DATED: July 14, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

CALIFORNIA NURSES ASSOCIATION/NATIONAL NURSES ORGANIZING COMMITTEE (CNA/NNOC) LEGAL DEPARTMENT

M. JANE LAWHON

Attorney for Petitioner PASNAP/NNOC



	1	i Data Filad	
TENET HEALTHCARE CORPORATION d/b/a	4-DC-2155	Date Filed 7 5/18/2009	
HAHNEMANN UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL	Case No. 4-RC-21557	7 37 1.07 2003	
Employer	Date Issued	7 200 9	
and			
PENNSYLVANIA ASSOCIATION OF STAFF	Type of Election (Check ane:)	(If applicable check either or both:)	
NURSES & ALLIED PROFESSIONALS (PASNAP/NNOC)	☐ Stipulation	□ 8(b) (7)	
(FASIME) MICC)	□ Board Direction	☐ Mail Ballot	
Petitioner	☐ Consent Agreement		
	☐ AD Direction		
	Incumbent Union (Code)		
TALLYO	F BALLOTS		
The undersigned agent of the Regional Direct	or certifies that the results of th	ne tabulation of ballots	
cast in the election held in the above case, and cor	ncluded on the date indicated ab	ove, were as follows:	
1. Approximate number of eligible voters		<u>10 1</u>	
2. Number of Void ballots			
3. Number of Votes cast forPetitioner			
4X XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX			
PC\S			
6. Number of Votes cast against participating labor organization(s)			
7. Number of Valid votes counted (sum of 3, 4, 5, and 6)			
8. Number of Challenged ballots			
9. Number of Valid votes counted plus challenged ballots (sum of 7 and 8)			
10. Challenges are (not) sufficient in number to affect the results of the election.			
11. A majority of the valid votes counted plus challenged ballots (Item 9) has (not) been cast for Petitioner			
	1 - 1		
	1/mm X	Suns.	
For the Regional Directo	1 1000	1 Bom	
The undersigned acted as authorized observers	in the counting and tabulating of	ballots indicated above.	
We hereby certify that the counting and tabulating ballots was maintained, and that the results were as in	were fairly and accurately done.	, that the secrecy of the	
ballots was maintained, and that the results were as it		age service of and tany.	
ForPmployer/	For Petitioner	1	
SH NIW	('lpl) to		
		/	
	No.		
For	For		
	الدينة في المرام الذي الدين والمرام الدين المرام		